

REMARKS

An Advisory Action mailed April 29, 2004 indicated that Applicant's Amendment B, mailed April 2, 2004 (which responded to the Office Action mailed February 17, 2004), was not entered. The Advisory Action rejected the terminal disclaimer submitted with Applicant's Amendment B. Applicant submits this Amendment C to submit a new terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.321(c) in response to the Office Action mailed February 17, 2004 and the Advisory Action mailed April 29, 2004.

The priority claim has been amended to reflect the issue of a related application and to state the relationship to a provisional application in accordance with MPEP §201.11 (III)(B).

The Examiner indicates that claims 19-22, 24 and 25 remain pending in the application. Applicant previously canceled claims 1 to 18, 20 and 23 in Amendment A, filed November 20, 2003. Therefore, Applicant believes that the Examiner intended to indicate that claims 19, 21, 22, 24 and 25 remain pending and responds accordingly. In the interest of a complete and accurate record, Applicant respectfully requests clarification.


The claims are rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting based on U.S. Patent 6,610,091 (the '091 Patent). A terminal disclaimer based on the '091 Patent is submitted herewith.

Applicant believes that the case is now in condition for allowance and that this Amendment merely corrects formal matters. Therefore, entry of this Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 is believed to be warranted.

Allowance of claims 19, 21, 22, 24 and 25 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

By


Daniel D. Ryan, Reg. No. 29,243

RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C.
Post Office Box 26618
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53226
(262) 783 - 1300
9 June 2004
Customer No.: 26308
Arthur/17205-CIP 2/940/01 AMENDMENT C

Enclosures: Amendment Transmittal Letter
Terminal Disclaimer
Statement Under 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b)
Return Postcard